

ρ(1450)

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^--)$$

See the mini-review under the ρ(1700).

ρ(1450) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
1465 ± 25 OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.
1452 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.

ηρ⁰ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

1470 ± 20	ANTONELLI	88	DM2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻
1446 ± 10	FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 π ⁻ p → ηπ ⁺ π ⁻ n

ωπ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

1463 ± 25	¹ CLEGG	94	RVUE	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1250	² ASTON	80C	OMEG	20-70 γp → ωπ ⁰ p
1290 ± 40	² BARBER	80C	SPEC	3-5 γp → ωπ ⁰ p

¹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

² Not separated from b₁(1235), not pure J^P = 1⁻ effect.

ππ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			

1348 ± 33	BERTIN	98	OBLX	50-405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
1411 ± 14	³ ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1370 ⁺⁹⁰ ₋₇₀	ACHASOV	97	RVUE	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻
1380 ± 24	⁴ BARATE	97M	ALEP	τ ⁻ → π ⁻ π ⁰ ν _τ
1359 ± 40	⁵ BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1282 ± 37	BERTIN	97D	OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25	BISELLO	89	DM2	e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻

³ T-matrix pole.

⁴ Fixing ρ(1450) width to 310 MeV and ρ(1700) mass and width to 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

⁵ ρ(1700) mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1350 ± 50	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1449 ± 4	⁶ ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	300 $p p \rightarrow$ $p p 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

⁶ Not clear whether this observation has $l=1$ or 0.

$\phi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1480 ± 40	^{7,8} BITYUKOV 87	SPEC	0	32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\phi\pi^0 n$

⁷ DONNACHIE 91 suggests this is a different particle.

⁸ Not seen by ABELE 97H.

MIXED MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1265.5 ± 75.3	DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
310 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
230 ± 30	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15	FUKUI 88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\omega\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

311 ± 62	⁹ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
300	¹⁰ ASTON 80C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100	¹⁰ BARBER 80C	SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

⁹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

¹⁰ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
275 ± 10	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$50-405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
343 ± 20	¹¹ ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310 ± 40	¹² BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
236 ± 36	BERTIN	97D OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269 ± 31	BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
¹¹ T-matrix pole.			
¹² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.			

 $\phi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
130 ± 60	^{13,14} BITYUKOV	87 SPEC	0	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 n$
¹³ DONNACHIE 91 suggests this is a different particle.				
¹⁴ Not seen by ABELE 97H.				

MIXED MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
391 ± 70	DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \pi\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_2 \quad 4\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_3 \quad \omega\pi$	<2.0 %	95%
$\Gamma_4 \quad e^+ e^-$	seen	
$\Gamma_5 \quad \eta\rho$	<4 %	
$\Gamma_6 \quad \phi\pi$	<1 %	
$\Gamma_7 \quad K\bar{K}$	< 1.6×10^{-3}	95%

 $\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.12	¹⁵ DIEKMAN	88 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	
¹⁵ Using total width = 235 MeV.				
$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_5\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
91 ± 19	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	

$\Gamma(\phi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_6\Gamma_4/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.70	90	¹⁶ AULCHENKO	87B ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
¹⁶ Using mass 1480 ± 40 MeV and total width 130 ± 60 MeV of BITYUKOV 87.				

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<0.04	DONNACHIE	87B RVUE

$\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_6/Γ_3				
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>0.5	95	BITYUKOV	87	SPEC	0 $32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \phi \pi^0 n$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	Γ_3/Γ_2	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<0.14	CLEGG	88 RVUE

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_5/Γ_3		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 0.24	¹⁷ DONNACHIE	91 RVUE	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
>2	FUKUI	91	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 n$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
~ 0.21	CLEGG	94 RVUE

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_1/Γ_3	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
~ 0.32	CLEGG	94 RVUE

$\Gamma(\phi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_6/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.01	¹⁷ DONNACHIE	91 RVUE	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	ABELE	97H CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_7/Γ_3	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<0.08	¹⁷ DONNACHIE	91 RVUE
¹⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.		

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin, Bruschi, Capponi+	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele, Adomeit, Amsler+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	97H	PL B415 280	A. Abele+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	+Kozhevnikov+	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin, Bruschi+	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin+	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	+Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NP B21 111 (suppl)		(DM2 Collab.)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	+Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	+Horikawa+	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT, MIYA)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	+Benayoun (ATHU, BARI, BIRM, CERN, CDEF, CURIN+)	
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	+Busetto+	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JPG 15 1349	+Martinovic+	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	+Baldini+	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	+Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 101		(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	+Horikawa+	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT, MIYA)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	+Binder, Boeckmann, Glaser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
AULCHENKO	87B	JETPL 45 145	+Dolinsky, Druzhinin, Dubrovin+	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 45 118.		
BITYUKOV	87	PL B188 383	+Dzhelyadin, Dorofeev, Golovkin+	(SERP)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	+Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	+Druzhinin, Dubrovin, Eidelman+	(NOVO)
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC, MCHS+)	
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	+Dainton, Brodbeck, Brookes+	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ABELE	97H	PL B415 280	A. Abele+	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BARNES	97	PR D55 4157	T. Barnes+	(ORNL, RAL, MCHS)
CLOSE	97C	PR D56 1584	F.E. Close+	(RAL, MCHS)
URHEIM	97	NPBPS 55C 359	J. Urheim	(CLEO Collab.)
ACHASOV	96B	PAN 59 1262	+Shestakov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 59 1319.		
MURADOV	94	PAN 57 864		(BAKU)
LANDSBERG	92	SJNP 55 1051		(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 55 1896.		
BRAU	88	PR D37 2379	+Franek+	(SLAC Hybrid Facility Photon Collab.)
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	+Awaji, D'Amore+	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
KURDADZE	86	JETPL 43 643	+Lelchuk, Pakhtusova, Sidorov, Skriskii+	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 43 497.		
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	+Chilingarov, Eidelman, Khazin, Lelchuk+	(NOVO)
BISELLO	85	LAL 85-15	+Augustin, Ajaltouni+	(PADO, LALO, CLER, FRAS)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	+Bacon, Ballam+	(SLAC Hybrid Facility Photon Collab.)
ATKINSON	84C	NP B243 1	+ (BONN, CERN, GLAS, LANC, MCHS, CURIN+)	
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	+Bisello, Bizot, Buon, Delcourt	(LALO)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	+Treadwell, Ahrens, Berkelman, Cassel+	(CORN)
COSME	76	PL 63B 352	+Courau, Dudelzak, Grelaud, Jean-Marie+	(ORSAY)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	+Rabin, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, UCB, SLAC)
FRENKIEL	72	NP B47 61	+Ghesquiere, Lillestol, Chung+	(CDEF, CERN)
LAYSSAC	71	NC 6A 134	+Renard	(MONP)