CHARMED BARYONS
($C = +1$)

$\Lambda_c^+ = udc$, $\Sigma_c^{++} = uuc$, $\Sigma_c^+ = udc$, $\Sigma_c^0 = ddc$,
$\Xi_c^+ = usc$, $\Xi_c^0 = dsc$, $\Omega_c^0 = ssc$

MINIREVIEW GOES HERE

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$

$I = 0$ Status: ***

Seen in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ but not in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ or $\Lambda_c^+ \gamma$, which argues that this state is indeed an excited Λ_c^+ rather than a Σ_c^+.

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2626.5±0.9 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
2626.6±0.5±1.5	42	[1] ALBRECHT	93F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] ALBRECHT 93F claims a signal of 42.4 ± 8.8 events.

$m_{\Lambda_c(2625)^+} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
341.5±0.8 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.				
341.5±0.9 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.				
342.2±0.2±0.5	245	EDWARDS	95	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
340.4±0.6±0.3	40	[2] FRABETTI	94	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma$ = 220 GeV

[2] FRABETTI 94 claims a signal of 39.7 ± 8.7 events.

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1.9	90	245	EDWARDS	95	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<3.2	90		ALBRECHT	93F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_2	$\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-$	small
Γ_3	$\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+$	small
Γ_4	$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	seen

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.08	90	EDWARDS	95	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.07	90	EDWARDS	95	CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

*CITATION: L. Montanet et al., Physical Review D50, 1173 (1994) and 1995 off-year partial update for the 1996 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov/)

$[\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-) + \Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+)]/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.36	90		FRABETTI	94	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma$ = 220 GeV
0.46±0.14		21	ALBRECHT	93F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant$)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.54±0.14	16	ALBRECHT	93F	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ REFERENCES

EDWARDS	95	PRL 74 3331	+Ogg, Bellerive, Britton+	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	94	PRL 72 961	+Cheung, Cumalat+	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93F	PL B317 227	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher+	(ARGUS Collab.)

$\Sigma_c(2530)$

Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$\Sigma_c(2530)$ MASSES

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2530±5±5	6	[1] AMMOSOV	93	HLBC	$\nu p \rightarrow \mu^- \Sigma_c(2530)^{++}$

[1] AMMOSOV 93 sees a cluster of 6 events and estimates the background to be 1 event.

$\Sigma_c(2530)$ REFERENCES

AMMOSOV	93	JETPL 58 247 Translated from ZETFP 58 241.	+Vasil'ev, Ivanilov, Ivanov+	(SERP)